United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 08-7033

September Term 2008

07cv00606

Filed On: October 9, 2008

Steven Madeoy and Melanie Madeoy,

Appellants

٧.

American Arbritration Association, Inc., et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Tatel, and Griffith, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's dismissal order, filed February 19, 2008, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because it is not a civil action between citizens of different states. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Additionally, the complaint does not involve a dispute arising under federal law, see 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because the Federal Arbitration Act does not create a basis for federal court jurisdiction, and any claim of federal question jurisdiction in a dispute involving an arbitration award must come from another source of federal law. See Hall Street Associates, L.L.C. v. Mattel, Inc., U.S. ___, 128 S. Ct. 1396, 1402 (2008); Kasap v. Folger Nolan Fleming & Douglas, Inc., 166 F.3d 1243, 1247 (D.C. Cir. 1999). Dismissal was proper because the complaint does not allege any other basis for the district court's jurisdiction.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 08-7033

September Term 2008

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam